# EXHIBIT 2

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
             FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3
                      AUSTIN DIVISION
4
     UMG RECORDINGS, INC., §
5
     et al.
                            $
6
                            S
7
     VS.
                            S
                               CIVIL ACTION NUMBER
8
                            $
                                1:17-cv-0365-LY
9
     GRANDE COMMUNICATIONS §
10
     NETWORKS LLC and §
11
     PATRIOT MEDIA
12
     CONSULTING, LLC
13
14
                   30(b)(6) Deposition of
15
             Grande Communications Networks LLC
16
        By and Through its Designated Representative
17
                      RICHARD N. FOGLE
18
               And in His Individual Capacity
19
                       Austin, Texas
20
                       June 28, 2018
21
                         2:44 p.m.
22
23
     Job No.: 193714
24
     Pages: 1 - 228
25
     Reported by: Micheal A. Johnson, RDR, CRR
```

1	fighting too much and I said 30,000. I don't	18:16:47
2	recall sending him quotes. I'm not sure why he	18:16:50
3	would want to see those.	18:16:53
4	Q. The links in Google that you sent him were	18:16:54
5	to show him that Grande should delete e-mails in	18:16:57
6	an attempt to avoid the same kind of liability	18:17:00
7	that Cox was found for in the Virginia case,	18:17:02
8	right, sir?	18:17:05
9	A. No. It said that our policy has always	18:17:06
10	stated seven years and we were just lax in	18:17:09
11	enforcing it. We are enforcing it to be in	18:17:11
12	compliance with our policy and by the way, here	18:17:14
13	are some other reasons. My IT manager said that	18:17:16
14	we need to comply with our policy and it's on its	18:17:18
15	last legs. I spoke to Bill Davis about that. He	18:17:21
16	told me the story and I used his story to try to	18:17:24
17	tell Paul that there are other reasons why we want	18:17:28
18	to comply with our policy.	18:17:31
19	Q. Did you anticipate strike that.	18:17:33
20	You knew at this time that Rightscorp had	18:17:36
21	sent hundreds of thousands of notices of	18:17:38
22	infringement to Grande, right?	18:17:41
23	MR. HOWENSTINE: Objection, lacks	18:17:43
24	foundation.	18:17:44
25	A. Not to my knowledge.	18:17:45

1	Q.	Your	18:19:36
2	Α.	Cox are you talking about 206?	18:19:37
3	Q.	207.	18:19:39
4	Α.	From William or to William Davis from	18:19:40
5	me		18:19:43
6	Q.	No, 207.	18:19:43
7	Α.	207.	18:19:44
8	Q.	Seven months after you received the e-mail	18:19:46
9	246,00	O Rightscorp notices, you wrote Mr. Morgan	18:19:52
10	that t	he key to Rightscorp winning the judgment is	18:19:54
11	Cox ke	pt e-mail. Do you see that?	18:19:58
12	Α.	Yes.	18:20:04
13	Q.	And this was in an e-mail trying to	18:20:05
14	encour	age Mr. Morgan to delete e-mails?	18:20:07
15		MR. HOWENSTINE: Objection, asked and	18:20:10
16	answer	red.	18:20:11
17	BY MR.	O'BEIRNE:	18:20:11
18	Q.	Right?	18:20:11
19	Α.	This was to encourage him to clean up his	18:20:12
20	act, y	es.	18:20:15
21	Q.	And by "clean up his act," you mean delete	18:20:15
22	e-mail	s?	18:20:18
23	Α.	To be in compliance with our policy and to	18:20:18
24	stop c	complaining because we need to cut off the	18:20:21
25	seven	year.	18:20:24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Transcript of Richard N. Fogle, Designated Representative  Conducted on June 28, 2018	96
Q. To clean up his act, you mean delete	18:20:25
e-mails, right?	18:20:27
A. To be in compliance with our seven year	18:20:28
and he was after this e-mail, he still didn't	18:20:30
listen to me.	18:20:32
Q. So you would agree with me you're	18:20:32
directing him to delete e-mails here?	18:20:35
A. No. I told him that he needs to comply	18:20:36
with the seven years and stop escalating and be	18:20:39
okay with us removing e-mails past seven years as	18:20:45
per our policy that we were lax in enforcing.	18:20:48
Q. By removing e-mails past seven years, you	18:20:51
mean they're deleted and gone?	18:20:54
A. Yeah. We have to.	18:20:55
Q. So this is encouraging him to allow the	18:20:56
deletion of his e-mails?	18:20:59
MR. HOWENSTINE: Objection, asked and	18:20:59
answered.	18:21:00
A. This is encouraging him to go along with	18:21:02
it without continuing to escalate and complain and	18:21:06
have meetings, yes.	18:21:10

18:21:11

18:21:11

18:21:14

18:21:16

it without continuing to escalate and complain and				
have meetings, yes.				
BY MR. O'BEIRNE:				
Q. You go on to say, "I'm not trying to slow				
you down or trip you up, just have to balance				
regulatory, liability and budgetary factors,"				

1	MR. O'BEIRNE: We asked for all relevant	18:37:38
2	communications, and we got e-mails from a variety	18:37:40
3	of sources to include other e-mails of Mr. Fogle's	18:37:42
4	in message form, but not this one.	18:37:46
5	A. I have no idea.	18:37:48
6	BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	18:37:49
7	Q. I'm asking you, sir, did you delete this	18:37:49
8	e-mail from your files?	18:37:51
9	A. No.	18:37:51
10	Q. Did you delete any e-mails specifically	18:37:52
11	for the purpose of removing incriminating evidence	18:37:53
12	about Grande's actions regarding the DMCA?	18:37:56
13	A. No.	18:37:59
14	Q. How can you explain why there are native	18:38:00
15	copies dot message file copies of your e-mails,	18:38:03
16	such as, "I'm going to work from home tomorrow,"	18:38:09
17	but this one, GRANDE0001, does not appear in your	18:38:11
18	e-mail?	18:38:14
19	A. I have no idea.	18:38:14
20	MR. HOWENSTINE: Again, Phil, did you ask	18:38:18
21	us to produce this document in message form?	18:38:19
22	MR. O'BEIRNE: I'm sorry, I'm conducting	18:38:22
23	the deposition. If you have an objection to	18:38:25
24	questions, that's fine. I'm asking the witness if	18:38:26
25	the witness deleted e-mails corresponding to his	18:38:27
		1

1	to? That's vague.	18:41:18
2	Q. I'm asking you a question.	18:41:20
3	A. Okay.	18:41:21
4	Q. You can answer it. You remember, sitting	18:41:22
5	here, conversations you had in August and	18:41:24
6	September about e-mail retention, correct?	18:41:26
7	A. In 2016?	18:41:36
8	Q. Yes.	18:41:37
9	A. Yes.	18:41:37
10	Q. All right. And in 2016, at the time you	18:41:38
11	had those conversations, you were concerned that	18:41:41
12	incriminating e-mails regarding Grande's conduct	18:41:44
13	would be discovered in Grande's e-mail files if	18:41:47
14	those e-mail files were subpoenaed in litigation,	18:41:49
15	right?	18:41:52
16	A. No.	18:41:53
17	MR. HOWENSTINE: Objection, asked and	18:41:53
18	answered.	18:41:54
19	BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	18:41:56
20	Q. If you had such a concern and you directed	18:41:56
21	them to be deleted, sir, that would violate this	18:41:58
22	policy, wouldn't it?	18:42:01
23	A. If I had that concern and I said delete	18:42:03
24	it, that would violate that policy, yes.	18:42:06
25	Q. Do you continue to believe that e-mail	18:42:15